19102 19102 146)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANTA

ROBERT C. WELDON,

Plaintiff

vs.

MR. NORK, et al.,

Defendants

CIVIL NO. 1:CV-01-1098

(Judge Caldwell)

JUN 1 9 2002

ORDER

THE BACKGROUND OF THIS ORDER IS AS FOLLOWS:

Robert C. Weldon, an inmate at the State Correctional

Institute at Dallas ("SCI-Dallas"), Dallas, Pennsylvania, filed
this <u>pro se</u> civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On March 12, 2002, the sole remaining defendant in this action,
Correctional Officer ("CO") Nork, filed a motion for summary
judgment. Defendant Nork simultaneously filed a brief with
supporting exhibits in support of his motion. On April 12, 2002,
plaintiff requested an enlargement of time to respond to the
pending motion. Weldon was granted a sixty (60) day enlargement
of time to file a response. To date, plaintiff has failed to file
a brief in opposition to defendant's motion.

Generally, a dispositive motion may not be granted merely because it is unopposed. However, when a plaintiff fails to prosecute or comply with a court order, the court may dismiss the

action pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

We will dismiss this case for failure to prosecute and comply with a court order under the authority of Rule 41(b) if plaintiff fails to oppose the motion within ten (10) days of the date of this order.

Accordingly, this 19th day of June, 2002, it is ordered that:

- 1. Within ten (10) days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file a brief in opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgement (doc. 17).
- 2. If plaintiff fails to do so, we will dismiss plaintiff's complaint pursuant to Rule 41(b).

Milliant

William W. Caldwell

United States District Judge